## Case4:08-cv-04567-CW Document513 Filed11/22/13 Page1 of 2

1 2 3 4 5 6	EDWARD R. REINES (Bar No. 135930)  edward.reines@weil.com  WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP Silicon Valley Office 201 Redwood Shores Parkway Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 802-3000 Facsimile: (650) 802-3100  Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant O2 MICRO INTERNATIONAL LIMITED	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Mark A. Flagel (State Bar No. 110635) mark.flagel@lw.com 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 485-1234 Facsimile: (213) 891-8763 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-Defendant MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC.
7		FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARRABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. Scott R. Mosko (State Bar No. 106070)
8		scott.mosko@finnegan.com Stanford Research Park
9		3300 Hillview Avenue Palo Alto, California 94304-1203
10 11		Telephone: (650) 849-6600 Facsimile: (650) 849-6666 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-
12		Defendant MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC. and Counterclaim-
13		Defendants ASUSTEK COMPUTER INC. and ASUS COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	OAKLAND DIVISION	
17	MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC.,	Case No. C 08-4567-CW
18	D1 : 4:00	
	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT
19	v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul>		
	v.	
20	v. O2 MICRO INTERNATIONAL LIMITED,	
20 21	v. O2 MICRO INTERNATIONAL LIMITED,  Defendant.	
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	v. O2 MICRO INTERNATIONAL LIMITED, Defendant. O2 MICRO INTERNATIONAL LIMITED,	SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	v. O2 MICRO INTERNATIONAL LIMITED, Defendant. O2 MICRO INTERNATIONAL LIMITED, Counterclaimant,	
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	v.  O2 MICRO INTERNATIONAL LIMITED,  Defendant.  O2 MICRO INTERNATIONAL LIMITED,  Counterclaimant,  v.  MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC., ASUSTEK COMPUTER, INC., ASUSTEK	SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	v.  O2 MICRO INTERNATIONAL LIMITED,  Defendant.  O2 MICRO INTERNATIONAL LIMITED,  Counterclaimant,  v.  MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS, INC., ASUSTEK COMPUTER, INC., ASUSTEK COMPUTER INTERNATIONAL AMERICA,	SATISFACTION OF JUDGMENT

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. Plaintiff Monolithic Power Systems, Inc. has acknowledged that Defendant
3	O2 Micro International Limited has fully satisfied the terms of the Court's Judgment on
4	Attorneys' Fees and Costs, entered on May 3, 2012 (Dkt. 503) and the Court's Order taxing costs
5	against O2 Micro International Limited, entered on March 3, 2011 (Dkt. 449).
6	2. O2 Micro International Limited has satisfied its entire liability to
7	Monolithic Power Systems, Inc. in this lawsuit.
8	3. Accordingly, the clerk of this Court shall enter this Satisfaction of
9	Judgment.
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	
12	
13	Dated: November 21, 2013
14	Chidiale
15	United States District Court Judge
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	
28	
40	